

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>

<STATE>

<ZIP>

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security only. Since receiveing my phone as a gift from my children I have used it four times in that year. Three were for 911 calls regarding auto accidents I was involved in The fourth was for emergency Road service. I don't want to lose these benefits for any reason especially one that lets commercial businesses pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Sincerely, Ralph G. Tennant 240 Girard Ave, Royal Oak, Michigan 48073 Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Ralph G. Tennant

240 Girard Ave

Royal Oak,, Michigan 48073-3463